arXiv:0801.2494v1 [math.AG] 16 Jan 2008

MOTIVES OF HYPERSURFACES OF VERY SMALL DEGREE

ANDRE CHATZISTAMATIOU

Abstract. We study the Chow motive (with rational coefficients) of a hypersurface X in the projective space by using the variety $F(X)$ of l-dimensional planes contained in X. If the degree of X is sufficiently small we show that the primitive part of the motive of X is the tensor product of a direct summand in the motive of a suitable complete intersection in $F(X)$ and the l-th twist $\mathbb{Q}(-l)$ of the Lefschetz motive.

Introduction

Let X be a smooth hypersurface of degree d in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ over a field k. In this paper we study the Chow motive (with rational coefficients) of X provided that d is sufficiently small.

Roitman has shown that the Chow group of zero-dimensional cycles of degree 0 is a torsion group if $d \leq n$ [R]. For higher dimensional cycles it is known [ELV, Theorem 4.6] that the Chow groups satisfy

$$\mathrm{CH}_{l'}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{CH}_{l'}(\mathbb{P}^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l' \leq l-1$$

if $n \geq \binom{d+l-1}{l}$ and $d \geq 3$. The identity also holds if X is covered by l-dimensional planes [V, Theorem 9.28], or more generally if X is a hyperplane section of a hypersurface Y which is covered by l-dimensional planes [O].

Results on triviality of Chow groups give rise to a decomposition of the motive $\bar{X}$ associated with X. In our case, we get

$$\bar{X} \cong M_D \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-l) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} \mathbb{Q}(-i),$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(-1)$ is the Lefschetz motive and M_D is a direct summand of the motive $\bar{D}$ of some variety D. Our purpose is to describe M_D.

In order to state the theorem we need the following notation. An l-dimensional plane E in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is called osculating plane if the intersection $E \cap X$ in an $(l-1)$-dimensional plane or if E is contained in X. We say that X has sufficiently many osculating planes if there exists an osculating plane through every closed point of X (the planes may be defined over a field extension of k).

Theorem (see Theorem 2.5)**.** *Let n, d, l be numbers such that a general hypersurface of degree d in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ has sufficiently many osculating l-dimensional planes. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree d such that the Fano variety $F_l(X)$ of l-dimensional planes contained in X is smooth and has the expected dimension. Furthermore, let $HF_l(X) \subset F_l(X)$ be a smooth complete intersection of hyperplanes (in the Plücker embedding) with* $\dim HF_l(X) = n - 2l - 1$. *Then there is an isomorphism in the category of Chow motives with rational coefficients:*

$$\bar{X} \cong M_{HF_l(X)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-l) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}(-i)$$

and $M_{HF_l(X)}$ is a direct summand in the motive of $HF_l(X)$.

The conditions on n, d, l hold if $n \geq \binom{l+d-1}{l} + l - 1$.

Let us sketch the idea of the proof. We consider the family of planes over $HF_l(X)$:

$$\Xi = \{(x, E) \in X \times HF_l(X); x \in E\} \subset X \times HF_l(X).$$

The cycle Ξ defines a correspondence $\phi_1 : HF_l(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-l) \to X$ resp. $\phi_2 : X \to HF_l(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-l)$. The composite $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2$ is the cycle

$$Z_X = \{(x, y) \in X \times X; x, y \in E, E \in HF_l(X)\}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(X \times X)$. The most important step is to show that

$$Z_X = \imath^*(a) + m \cdot \Delta_X, \tag{0.0.1}$$

for some $a \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$, some nonzero integer m, the inclusion $\imath : X^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$ and the diagonal Δ_X. In order to prove 0.0.1 we introduce the doubled incidence variety of degree d hypersurfaces together with two points:

$$\Sigma = \{(x, y, Y) \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1})); x, y \in Y\}.$$

The projection $p : \Sigma \to (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$ is a projective bundle over $(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}$, so that $\mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ can be calculated by using the projective bundle formula and the localization sequence. The cycle $p^{-1}(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ maps to the diagonal Δ_X by the pullback map $\jmath^*$ of the inclusion $\jmath : X \times X \to \Sigma$. One defines a cycle $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ with $\jmath^*(Z) = Z_X$, and by comparing Z with $p^{-1}(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ we obtain 0.0.1 after applying $\jmath^*$.

Acknowledgments. I thank H. Esnault for introducing me to this subject and for her interest in my work. I am grateful to Y. André for valuable discussions. This paper is written during a stay at the Ecole normale supérieure which is supported by a fellowship within the Post-Doc program of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). I thank the Ecole normale supérieure for its hospitality.

1. Cycles in the doubled incidence variety

1.1. Let k be a field and let $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}))$ be the hypersurfaces of degree d in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. We denote by Σ the doubled incidence variety

$$\Sigma = \{(x,y,X) \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1})); x, y \in X\}.$$

Let $p : \Sigma \to (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$ be the projection, we define $\Sigma_1 := p^{-1}(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ and $\Sigma_0 := \Sigma - \Sigma_1$. In the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma \arrow[d, "p"'] & \Sigma_1 \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n} \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 & \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

the varieties Σ_0 resp. Σ_1 are projective bundle with fiber dimension $N-2$ resp. $N-1$, where $N = \dim \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}))$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma) = \{(x,x,X); x \in \mathrm{Sing}(X)\}$. The singular locus is a projective bundle over $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ with fiber dimension $N-1-n$.

1.2. There is an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{CH}^0(\Sigma_1) \to \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma_0) \to 0 \tag{1.2.1}$$

from the localization sequence of Chow groups (CH^i denotes the group of i-codimensional cycles modulo rational equivalence). Moreover, there is a natural splitting defined as follows.

Let $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}))$ be the projection and set $c = \phi^*(c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)))$. For the other projection $p : \Sigma \to (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$ we may define p^* to be the composite $\epsilon^* \circ \mathrm{pr}^*$ where $\epsilon : \Sigma \to (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}))$ is the regular embedding and pr is the projection to $(\mathbb{P}^n)^2$. From the projective bundle formula we see that

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{n-1-i} \cdot p^*\mathrm{CH}^i((\mathbb{P}^n)^2) \subset \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$$

splits the sequence 1.2.1, so that every class Z in $\mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ can be written as

$$Z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{n-1-i} \cdot p^*(a_i) + m \cdot [\Sigma_1]$$

with $a_i \in \mathrm{CH}^i((\mathbb{P}^n)^2)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a smooth, connected projective k-scheme and $\Xi \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{P}^n$ be a family of κ dimensional subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^n$, flat over $\mathcal{H}$. We assume that $\kappa \geq 1$ and:

(A) The sheaf $\mathrm{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Xi \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is locally free and the natural map

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d((k^{n+1})^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Xi \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \tag{1.3.1}$$

is surjective.

We denote by E the kernel of 1.3.1. It is convenient to write QE for $\mathrm{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Xi \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$. In the following commutative diagram we fix the notation for the various maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Xi \times_{\mathcal{H}} \Xi \times_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \arrow[r, "f_\Sigma"] \arrow[d, "f_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)}"'] & \Sigma \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 \\
\mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \arrow[r, "\psi"'] \arrow[d, "f_{\mathcal{H}}"'] & \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1})) & \\
\mathcal{H}. & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Define

$$Z := f_{\Sigma *} f^*_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)} f^*_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{CH}^{*-e}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(\Sigma), \tag{1.3.2}$$

we will be mainly interested in cycles $Z(a) \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ and their pullback to $X \times X \subset \Sigma$ for a hypersurface X.

The cycle $\Xi \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-\kappa}(\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ has a unique representation

$$[\Xi] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-\kappa} \xi_{n-\kappa-i} \otimes H^i \tag{1.3.3}$$

with $\xi_j \in \mathrm{CH}^j(\mathcal{H})$ and $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$.

Lemma 1.4. *For $a \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1-e}(\mathcal{H})$ let $Z(a)_{|\Sigma_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{n-1-i} \cdot p^*(a_i)$ be the pullback of $Z(a)$ to Σ_0. The classes a_i can be computed as follows:*

$$\sum_i a_i = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq s,t \leq n-\kappa} b_{s,t} H^s \otimes H^t}{(1 + d \otimes H)(1 + H \otimes d)}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^*((\mathbb{P}^n)^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n})$, *and where*

$$b_{s,t} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi_{n-\kappa-s} \cdot \xi_{n-\kappa-t} \cdot c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-n+s+t-1}(QE) \cdot a$$

Proof. On $(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ the evaluation morphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d((k^{n+1})^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \oplus \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \tag{1.4.1}$$

is surjective, let G be the kernel. We have $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{P}(G^\vee)$ and $c_{|\Sigma_0} = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))$, so that

$$p_*(\frac{1}{1-c} Z(a)_{|\Sigma_0}) = \sum_{j\geq 0} s_j(G) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i, \tag{1.4.2}$$

where $s_j(G)$ are the Segre classes. Since G is the kernel of 1.4.1 we see

$$(1 + d \cdot \mathrm{pr}_1^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)))(1 + d \cdot \mathrm{pr}_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))) \sum_{j\geq 0} s_j(G) = 1. \tag{1.4.3}$$

Define

$$T_i(a) = p_*(c^{N-n-1+i} Z(a)) \tag{1.4.4}$$

and let $\jmath : (\mathbb{P}^n)^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n} \subset (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$ be the open immersion. It follows from 1.4.2 and 1.4.3 that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i = \frac{\sum_{i\geq 0} \jmath^* T_i(a)}{(1 + d \otimes H)(1 + H \otimes d)}. \tag{1.4.5}$$

Let us now compute the T_i:

$$\begin{aligned} p_*(c^{N-n-1+i} Z(a)) &= p_* f_{\Sigma *}((\phi \circ f_\Sigma)^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{N-n-1+i} \cdot (f_{\mathcal{H}} \circ f_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)})^*(a)) \\ &= p_* f_{\Sigma *} f^*_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)}(\psi^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{N-n-1+i} \cdot f^*_{\mathcal{H}}(a)) \end{aligned}$$

The map $T = p_* f_{\Sigma *} f^*_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)}$ is given by the correspondence $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \times_H \Xi \times_{\mathcal{H}} \Xi$ in $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$, and using 1.3.3 we see

$$[\Xi \times_{\mathcal{H}} \Xi] = \sum_{0 \leq s,t \leq n-\kappa} (\xi_{n-\kappa-s} \cdot \xi_{n-\kappa-t}) \otimes H^s \otimes H^t$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{H} \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^2)$. So that the coefficient of $H^s \otimes H^t$ in $T_i(a)$ is

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)} f^*_{\mathcal{H}}\xi_{n-\kappa-s} \cdot f^*_{\mathcal{H}}\xi_{n-\kappa-t} \cdot \psi^* c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{N-n-1+i} \cdot f^*_{\mathcal{H}}(a) = \tag{1.4.6}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi_{n-\kappa-s} \cdot \xi_{n-\kappa-t} \cdot s_{N-n-\mathrm{rk}(E)+i}(E) \cdot a.$$

If $Z(a) \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ then $T_i(a) \in \mathrm{CH}^i((\mathbb{P}^n)^2)$ by definition, so that the coefficient of $H^s \otimes H^t$ in $T_i(a)$ vanishes if $s + t \neq i$. The identity $s_{N-n-\mathrm{rk}(E)+i}(E) = c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-n-1+i}(QE)$ completes the prove. $\square$

The next Lemma computes the pullback of $Z(a)$ to $X \times X$ for a hypersurface X. We write $\imath_\Sigma$ for the inclusion $X \times X \to \Sigma$ and $\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2}$ for the inclusion $X \times X \to (\mathbb{P}^n)^2$. Note that both inclusion are locally complete intersection, thus the pullback is well-defined.

Lemma 1.5. *For* $Z(a) \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$ *and* $Z(a)_{|\Sigma_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{n-1-i} \cdot p^*(a_i)$, *we have*

$$\imath_\Sigma^* Z(a) = \imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2}^*(a_{n-1}) - m \cdot \Delta_X,$$

where

$$m = d \cdot \sum_{j=\kappa-1}^{n-1} (-d)^j \int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi_{n-\kappa} \cdot \xi_{j-\kappa+1} \cdot c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-2-j}(QE) \cdot a.$$

Proof. We know that

$$Z(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{n-1-i} \cdot p^*(a_i) - m \cdot [\Sigma_1] \tag{1.5.1}$$

for some m. The line bundle $\imath_\Sigma^* \phi^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ is trivial and $\imath_\Sigma^*[\Sigma_1] = \Delta_X$, therefore

$$\imath_\Sigma^* Z(a) = \imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2}^* a_{n-1} - m \cdot \Delta_X. \tag{1.5.2}$$

We claim that

$$\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 *} \imath_\Sigma^* \beta = p_*(c^N \cdot \beta) \tag{1.5.3}$$

for every class $\beta \in \mathrm{CH}^*(\Sigma)$. This follows from the following fact. If $g : D \subset Y$ is a Cartier divisor on Y and L the associated line bundle, then $g_* g^*(\beta) = c_1(L) \cdot \beta$.

Claim 1.5.3 implies $\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 *} \imath_\Sigma^* Z(a) = T_{n+1}(a)$ (see 1.4.4). The coefficient of $H \otimes H^n$ in $T_{n+1}(a)$ is computed in 1.4.6 and vanishes for trivial reasons (if $\kappa \geq 1$). Using Lemma 1.4, we see that the coefficient γ of $1 \otimes H^{n-1}$ in a_{n-1} is

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=\kappa-1}^{n-1} (-d)^j \cdot b_{0,n-1-j}.$$

By applying $\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 *}$ to 1.5.2 and using $\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 *} \imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2}^* a_{n-1} = d^2 (H \otimes H) \cdot a_{n-1}$ and $\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2 *} \Delta_X = d \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0}^{n-1} H^{i+1} \otimes H^{n-i}$ it follows that $m = d \cdot \gamma$, as claimed. $\square$

2. Motives of hypersurfaces and their Fano varieties

2.1. In the following we work with the Grassmannian of κ-planes $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa$ in projective space and $\Xi \subset \mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa \times \mathbb{P}^n$ the universal family. We denote by V resp. QV the tautological bundle $V \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}}^{n+1}$ resp. the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}}^{n+1}/V$. The family Ξ is the projective bundle $\Xi = \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ and it is easy to see that

$$[\Xi] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-\kappa} c_{n-\kappa-i}(QV) \otimes H^i$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^{n-\kappa}(\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}\times\mathbb{P}^n)$. Furthermore we have $QE = \mathrm{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Xi \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = \mathrm{Sym}^d(V^\vee)$.

2.2. We will be interested in cycles $Z(c_1(V^\vee)^s) \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\Sigma)$, for $s \geq 0$, (notation as in 1.3.2). By counting dimensions we see that

$$s = \dim \Xi - \mathrm{rk}(QE) - (n-1) = \kappa(n-\kappa) - \binom{d+\kappa}{\kappa} + \kappa + 1 \tag{2.2.1}$$

Let us consider the variety

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x, E_{\kappa-1}, E_\kappa, X) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_{\kappa-1} \times \mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}));& \\ x \in E_{\kappa-1} \subset E_\kappa, E_\kappa \cap X = E_{\kappa-1} \text{ or } E_\kappa \subset X\}.& \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

More formally, this variety is defined as follows. On $\Xi = \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ there is an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to V_1^\vee \to V^\vee \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1) \to 0, \tag{2.2.3}$$

and the points of $\mathbb{P}(V_1)$ are $\{(x, E_{\kappa-1}, E_\kappa); x \in E_{\kappa-1} \subset E_\kappa\}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(-1) \subset V_1^\vee \subset V^\vee$ we can define G to be the kernel of

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d((k^{n+1})^\vee) \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(V^\vee)/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(-d).$$

Then $\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)$ is the variety 2.2.2.

The following condition will imply that the diagonal Δ_X, for a hypersurface X, can be written in terms of the pullback of $Z(c_1(V^\vee)^s)$ to $X \times X$ (i.e. $m \neq 0$ in Lemma 1.5).

(B) The following map is surjective:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(G^\vee) \to \{(x, X) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1})); x \in X\} \\ &(x, E_{\kappa-1}, E_\kappa, X) \mapsto (x, X). \end{aligned}$$

By counting dimensions we see that a necessary condition for (B) is $s \geq 0$ (with s as in 2.2.1). If $d = 2$ then $s \geq 0$ is not sufficient, the first example is $\kappa = 3$ and $n = 5$. In fact, (B) is equivalent to $n \geq 2 \cdot \kappa$ if

$d=2$, which can be checked by using the following Lemma. However, we don't know any counter examples to

$$(B) \Leftrightarrow s \geq 0 \tag{2.2.4}$$

for $d>2$. If $\kappa=1$ then 2.2.4 is true. This also holds for $(n,d,\kappa)=(6,3,2),(8,4,2),(11,5,2),(9,3,3)$.

It is known [ELV, Lemma 1.1+Lemma 4.2] that (B) is true if $d \geq 3$ and

$$n-\kappa+1 \geq \binom{\kappa-1+d}{\kappa}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Condition (B) holds if and only if*

$$m = d \cdot \sum_{j=\kappa-1}^{n-1} (-d)^j \int_{\mathcal{H}} \xi_{n-\kappa} \cdot \xi_{j-\kappa+1} \cdot c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-2-j}(QE) \cdot c_1(V^\vee)^s$$

is nonzero.

Proof. From the construction of $\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)$ we have the maps

$$\mathbb{P}(G^\vee) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}(V_1) \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa.$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned}(h\circ g\circ f)_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))^{n-1}\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))^N) = \\ (-1)^{\kappa-1} d \cdot \sum_{j=\kappa-1}^{n-1} (-d)^j \xi_{n-\kappa}\cdot \xi_{j-\kappa+1}\cdot c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-2-j}(QE)\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

($N=\dim \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(k^{n+1}))$). Indeed,

$$g_* f_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))^N) = g_* s_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-1}(G) = g_* c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-1}(QE/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(-d)) =$$
$$g_* \sum_i d^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i-1}(QE)\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(1))^i = \sum_i d^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i-1}(QE)\cdot s_{i-\kappa+1}(V_1^\vee),$$

and from 2.2.3 we obtain $s_j(V_1^\vee)=s_j(V^\vee)+c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))\cdot s_{j-1}(V^\vee)$ for all j. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(h\circ g\circ f)_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))^{n-1}\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))^N) = \\ \sum_i d^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i-1}(QE)\cdot (s_{n-\kappa-1}(V)s_{i-\kappa+1}(V^\vee)+s_{n-\kappa}(V)s_{i-\kappa}(V^\vee)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.2}$$

On $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ the natural morphism $QE\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(-d)\to\mathcal{O}$ is surjective, so that the top Chern class of $QE\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(-d)$ vanishes:

$$h_*c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)}(QE\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(-d)) = h_*\sum_i(-d)^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i}(QE)\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))^i =$$

$$\sum_i(-d)^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i}(QE)s_{i-\kappa}(V) = (-1)^\kappa d\cdot\sum_i d^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i-1}(QE)s_{i+1-\kappa}(V^\vee)$$

is zero and together with 2.3.2 we obtain

$$(h\circ g\circ f)_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))^{n-1}\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))^N) = \\ d\cdot\sum_i d^i c_{\mathrm{rk}(QE)-i-2}(QE)\cdot s_{n-\kappa}(V)s_{i+1-\kappa}(V^\vee).$$

Then, $s_j(V^\vee)=(-1)^j s_j(V)=c_j(QV)=\xi_j$ proves the claim.

Let π be the map in condition (B). For a general closed point (x,X) the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(x,X)$ map generically one to one to $\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa$. The class $c_1(V^\vee)=c_1(\Lambda^{\kappa+1}V^\vee)$ is the class of an ample line bundle and s is the dimension of the generic fiber of π, if π is surjective. Thus, π is surjective if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}c_1(V^\vee)^s\cdot[\pi^{-1}(x,X)]\neq 0$. The class $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)}(1))^{n-1}\cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G^\vee)}(1))^N$ is equal to $\sum_i\pi^*(x_i,X_i)$ for some general points (x_i,X_i) and 2.3.1 implies the Lemma. $\square$

Remark 2.4. From the proof of Lemma 2.3 we obtain a geometric interpretation for m. Let $H\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa$ be a complete intersection of s hyperplanes. For a general hypersurface X and a general point $x\in X$ the number m is up to the factor $(-1)^{\kappa-1}d$ the number of κ-planes in $H\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa$ through x which meet X in a $\kappa-1$ plane with multiplicity d.

In the following Theorem we work with the category of (pure) Chow motives with rational coefficients (see [F, Chapter 16]) and $\mathbb{Q}(-1)$ denotes the Lefschetz motive.

Theorem 2.5. *Let n,d,κ be numbers satisfying (B). Let $X\subset\mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree d such that the Fano variety $F_\kappa(X)$ of κ-dimensional planes contained in X has the expected dimension (which is $\dim F_\kappa(X)=(\kappa+1)(n-\kappa)-\binom{d+\kappa}{\kappa}$), and let $HF_\kappa(X)\subset F_\kappa(X)$ be a complete intersection of hyperplanes (in the Plücker embedding) with $\dim HF_\kappa(X)=n-2\kappa-1$. Furthermore, let $\psi:\widetilde{HF_\kappa(X)}\to HF_\kappa(X)$ be a generically finite surjective morphism which resolves the singularities of $HF_\kappa(X)$. Then there is an isomorphism in the category of Chow motives with rational coefficients:*

$$(X,\mathrm{id}_X)\cong(\widetilde{HF_\kappa(X)},P)\otimes\mathbb{Q}(-\kappa)\oplus\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{Q}(-i)$$

for a suitable projector P.

Proof. From Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\Delta_X = -\frac{1}{m}\imath_\Sigma^* Z(c_1(V^\vee)^s) + \frac{1}{m}\imath_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^2}^*(a_{n-1}). \tag{2.5.1}$$

In the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Xi \times_{F_\kappa(X)} \Xi \arrow[r, "f_{X\times X}"] \arrow[d] & X \times X \arrow[d, "\imath_\Sigma"] \\
\Xi \times_{\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa} \Xi \times_{\mathbb{G}\mathrm{r}_\kappa} \mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \arrow[r, "f_\Sigma"] & \Sigma
\end{tikzcd}$$

pullback and pushforward commute, because $F_\kappa(X)$ has the expected dimension. Therefore

$$\imath_\Sigma^* Z(c_1(V^\vee)^s) = f_{X\times X *}(c_1(V^\vee)^s) = (f_{X\times X}\circ\eta)_*([\Xi \times_{HF_\kappa(X)} \Xi]), \tag{2.5.2}$$

where $\eta : HF_\kappa(X) \to F_\kappa(X)$ is a complete intersection of s hyperplanes (thus the dimension is $\dim HF_\kappa(X) = n-2\kappa-1$). It is more convenient to write $H = HF_\kappa(X)$ and $\tilde{H} = \widetilde{HF_\kappa(X)}$.

There is a cycle $Y \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-2\kappa-1}(\tilde{H}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. Y is a rational linear combination of connected components of $\tilde{H}$) such that $\psi_*(Y) = [H]$.

Let $\phi_1 \in \mathrm{Cor}(\tilde{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-\kappa), X)$ (resp. $\phi_2 \in \mathrm{Cor}(X, \tilde{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-\kappa))$) be the correspondence defined by the cycle $\tilde{H} \times_H \Xi$ in $\tilde{H} \times X$ (resp. $[\Xi \times_H \tilde{H}] \cdot pr_{\tilde{H}}^*(Y)$ in $X \times \tilde{H}$, where $pr_{\tilde{H}} : X \times \tilde{H} \to \tilde{H}$ is the projection).

We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Xi \times_{\tilde{H}} \Xi \arrow[r, "\psi'"] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\cap"] & \Xi \times_H \Xi \arrow[dr, "f_{X\times X}\circ\eta"] \arrow[dd, "\cap"] & \\
\tilde{H} & & & X \times X \\
 & X \times \tilde{H} \times X \arrow[ul, "pr_{\tilde{H}}"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\times\psi\times\mathrm{id}"] & X \times H \times X \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to see that $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = (f_{X\times X} \circ \eta \circ \psi')_*([\Xi \times_{\tilde{H}} \Xi] \cdot pr_{\tilde{H}}^*(Y))$, and $\psi'_*([\Xi \times_{\tilde{H}} \Xi] \cdot pr_{\tilde{H}}^*(Y)) = [\Xi \times_H \Xi]$ together with 2.5.2 yields

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = Z(c_1(V^\vee)^s). \tag{2.5.3}$$

If H is the class of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n$ then we write P_i for the pullback of $\frac{1}{d}H^{n-1-i} \otimes H^i \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to $X \times X$. The correspondences $P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}$ are idempotent and orthogonal. We may write $a_{n-1} = \frac{m}{d}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\beta_i H^{n-1-i}\otimes H^i$ and it follows from 2.5.1 and 2.5.3 that

$$\Delta_X - \sum_i P_i = -\frac{1}{m}\phi_1\circ\phi_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\beta_i - 1)P_i.$$

Composition with P_i shows that $\frac{1}{m}\phi_1\circ\phi_2\circ P_i = (\beta_i - 1)P_i$ and

$$\Delta_X - \sum_i P_i = \phi_1\circ(-\frac{1}{m}\phi_2 + \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\phi_2\circ P_i). \tag{2.5.4}$$

Since $(X, P_i)\cong\mathbb{Q}(-i)$ and $(X, \Delta_X - \sum_i P_i)\cong(\tilde{H}, -\frac{1}{m}\phi_2\circ(\Delta_X - \sum_i P_i)\circ\phi_1)$ by 2.5.4, this proves the theorem. □

References

[ELV] Esnault, Hélène; Levine, Marc; Viehweg, Eckart. Chow groups of projective varieties of very small degree. Duke Math. J. 87 (1997), no. 1, 29–58.

[F] Fulton, William. Intersection theory. Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[O] Otwinowska, Anna. Remarques sur les groupes de Chow des hypersurfaces de petit degré. (French) [Remarks on Chow groups of hypersurfaces of low degree] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 329 (1999), no. 1, 51–56.

[R] Roitman, A. A. Rational equivalence of zero-dimensional cycles. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 89 (1972), 569–585; translation in Math, USSR Sb. 18 (1972), 571–588.

[V] Voisin, Claire. Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. II. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

E-mail address: `Andre.Chatzistamatiou@ens.fr`